

Research and Creative Activities Committee (RCAC)

Scoring Sheet: Internal Grant Proposals (updated October 2011)

Submission Date: _____

Project Name: _____

APPLICANT INFORMATION

The project PI is an untenured assistant professor (+2)/associate professor (+1)/a full professor (+1). _____

The PI has (0)/has not (+1) received RCA funding* from the Office of Research
within the past two years (*excluding international travel funds). _____

The Co-PI has (0)/has not (+1) received RCA funding* from the Office of Research
within the past two years (*excluding international travel funds) _____

Total/4 _____

RESEARCH NARRATIVE

Strongly Disagree

Agree

Strongly Agree

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

The project provides evidence that current literature has been reviewed/Artist's statement is provided. _____

It is clear how the project will make a contribution to the field. _____

The purpose of the project is clearly stated. _____

The objectives of the project are clearly stated. _____

The expected outcomes are stated clearly and appear to be realistic. _____

There is a logical, thoughtful plan for conducting the project (e.g., data collection, analysis, etc.). _____

The limitations of the project are identified. _____

The role of each contributor is clear. _____

A reasonable timeline is included. _____

Overall, the project enhances the university's culture of research, creativity, and scholarship _____

AVERAGE SCORE: Total/10* _____

(*Provide a weighted average score up to 10.)

