Present: Ruth Person, Jack Kay, Dave Barthelmes, Bob Stach, Kui-Bin Im, Shan Parker, Mark Perry, Sapna Vyas, Chris Waters, Melody Bartholomew

Absent: Teddy Robertson

Minutes: The minutes of the February 13th meeting were reviewed and accepted.

Agenda items included: (1) Fee Committee Recommendations; (2) Procedure for Determining Tuition Charges; (3) Continuing Discussion on long-range planning; (4) Fee Determination Procedure; (5) Transfer Students/Tuition Losses

Fee Committee Recommendations ~ the Fee Committee was very late this year in submitting requested fees and their recommendations. B. Stach recommended approval of the fee requests submitted (e.g. ITS Technology, Rec Center, etc.) and C. Waters seconded the approval.

CAC/BSP committee members agreed this is an issue that must be discussed and acted upon to avoid the year-long delay in approving and implementing new fees associated with new programs. It was suggested that there needs to be a change in the way fees are requested. Currently, there are twice/yearly submissions but there is never any explanation given when fee requests are rejected. There are also fees associated with some new courses and these fees need to be addressed at the time of course creation. There are also serious issues in getting the money transferred. It was suggested that the Fee Committee, its charge, membership and structure be reviewed at a future meeting.

Procedure for Determining Tuition Charges/Transfer Students & Tuition Losses ~ B. Stach stated that the current policy of charging tuition at the student level (versus course level) needs to be reviewed. Likewise, transfer students present a tuition loss (i.e. what is the added value of taking classes at UM-Flint versus Mott). After a brief discussion, it was agreed that these matters would be held over to a future meeting when Jerry Glasco, Director of Financial Services could attend.

Continuing Discussion of Long-Range Planning ~ the discussion centered around the current strategic plan and whether or not the mission and purpose statement should be reaffirmed. It was noted that the prior strategic planning committee
was large and writing the plan was a laborious task. It was suggested that perhaps there should be a much smaller group that would review the current strategic plan and goals that have been obtained. Other materials that will be helpful include the budget model questionnaire. The committee agreed to suggest individuals who might share an interest in developing a new strategic plan for 2010 and beyond.